



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

HOMENAJE A NOEMÍ LILIANA BRENTA

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 8 . Número Especial

Enero / Marzo

2021

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Director

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda
Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Editor

Alex Véliz Burgos
Obu-Chile, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Alekzandar Ivanov Katrandhiev
Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero
Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos
Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado
Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto
Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos
Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera
Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González
Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González
Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy
Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz
Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya
Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach
Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania
Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín
Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio
Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero
Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira
Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga
Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona
Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía

Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu

Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie

Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar

Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo

Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto

Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandía

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar

Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau

Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg

*Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos*

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez

Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire

Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera

Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre

Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura

Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros

Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández

Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango

Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut

Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elían Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Romyana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal

Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el Deporte,
Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas

Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES** M.R.
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad de Concepción

BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



COMPETITIVENESS ASSESSMENT CONCEPTS

Drda. Yulia Nedelcheva

South-West University "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria
ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0252-1825>
yulia.nedelcheva@abv.bg

Fecha de Recepción: 19 de noviembre de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 25 de noviembre de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 19 de diciembre de 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de enero de 2021

Abstract

The aim of the article is to present concepts for assessing of competitiveness. We take into account the ideas of individual authors, as well as their views on the applicability of each concept. Our idea for systematizing concepts is according to the levels in economy. The results of the article define a diversity of concepts for assessing competitiveness. We accept diversity as consequence of the wide range of definitions of competitiveness. Competitiveness assessment concepts cross thresholds specific to each level of the economy.

Keywords

Competitiveness assessment concepts – Competition – Multi-level analysis – Systematization

Para Citar este Artículo:

Nedelcheva, Yulia. Competitiveness assessment concepts. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 8 num Especial (2021): 49-61.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)

Licencia Internacional



DRDA. YULIA NEDELICHEVA

Introduction

Competitiveness is one of the most important internal factors for functioning of the firm and is realized through interrelations with other subjects of the external environment. In a broad sense, this means an option to win the victory in a rivalry¹.

Main characteristics of development of modern economy such as increasing the level and acceleration of globalization, very high dynamism and instability of external environment, strengthening the competition in individual industry and / or regional markets, continuous and rapid development and increasing the importance of information and communications technology have a direct impact on the level of firm competitiveness². To the factors that are related to competitiveness are demand in domestic and international markets, competitive imports, financing and economic environment³. At the same time, competitiveness is studied in many aspects and at different subject levels⁴.

In recent years, there has been a growing academic and political interest in both conceptualizing and assessing competitiveness. An expression of the firm competitiveness is its adaptability, expressing the adequacy of its responses to the impact of environment and compliance with changes in environmental dynamics⁵.

The situation is paradoxical there is no uniform concept for assessing competitiveness. It is essential to point out that the competitiveness assessment is based on comparison⁶.

As a beginning of modern competitiveness assessment concepts, we can accept the control over the market concentration (mergers and acquisitions)⁷ and the measures for consumer protection (a consequence of the new products entry due to mass production)⁸. As from 1970s, the collection and processing of macro-data for assessing competitiveness began.

Assessing competitiveness is an inspiring challenge. The competitiveness' nature as result of a complex combination of interrelated factors and international data leads to the impossibility of adopting a single assessment concept. The assessment of firm competitiveness is based on the following requirements:

¹ Milena Filipova and Radostina Yuleva, "Innovative management as competitiveness factor", *Entrepreneurship Issue 2* (2018): 215.

² Rayna Dimitrova, "Assessing Tool for Analysis and Evaluating the Competitive Potential of an Enterprise", *Economics and Management Vol: VIII Issue 4* (2012): 2.

³ Viktoriya Kalaydzhieva, "The Influence of Innovation on Increasing the Competitiveness of Industrial Enterprises", *Izvestiya Issue 3* (2016): 336.

⁴ Rayna Dimitrova, "Possibilities for the Increase of Competitiveness of a Product through the Implementation of Active Methods for Direct Connection with the Consumers", *Entrepreneurship Issue 1* (2013): 77.

⁵ Milena Filipova, *Increase the competitiveness of the breweries companies* (Sofia: Korect, 2004), 85.

⁶ Milena Filipova, "Assessment of the brewery company's competitive advantage", *Economics and Management Vol: I Issue 2* (2005): 52.

⁷ Commission des Communautés Europeennes, *Tables of concentration. Pharmaceuticals* (N.I.C.E. 313.1). Germany. France. The Netherlands (Direction Générale de la Concurrence, 1974), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41011/>

⁸ EU Commission, *More protection for consumers against defective products proposed* (Brussels, 1976), (11.11.2020). http://aei.pitt.edu/30469/1/P_72_76.pdf

- systemic and complex approach in forming the system of assessment indicators;
- use of minimum possible, but also sufficient number of indicators for complete, reliable and accurate assessment;
- use mainly of quantitative indicators for assessment;
- avoiding duplication of assessment indicators; minimizing the subjectivity in the assessment;
- periodicity of assessment⁹.

As a result, there is an objective need to provide a sufficient amount of reliable information to allow the development of adequate management strategies of firms¹⁰.

The development of competitiveness concept is accompanied by the formation of various views on its nature and content¹¹. Every attempt for assessment should take into account the characteristics of competitiveness:

- The combined understanding of competitiveness' nature determines the use of different units of measurement (price, export share, profitability, costs, etc.), which determine different assessment results. There are reasons to believe that the multi-dimensional and dynamism of competitiveness determine its contradictory nature¹².

- Competitiveness is a relative concept in terms of resources. There is no “race from A to B” in economics¹³. Competitiveness can only be used to compare individual companies.

- The resources dynamics determines the competitiveness dynamism. An additional difficulty is the time relativity in determining and assessing competitiveness¹⁴.

Determinants of competitiveness assessment. Competitiveness assessment is characterized by:

- Competitiveness measures have a positive and normative character¹⁵. The positive character is a consequence of reflecting actual results. The regulatory nature is caused by *ex post* and *ex ante* measures: for example, competitiveness is based on measures of macroeconomics (trade balance, exchange rate, etc.) and microeconomics (balance sheet, profit, etc.), both of which are based on previous information, so there is a limited opportunity to assess potential competitiveness.

- Competitiveness assessment determines which economic indicators are a source or which are a result of competitiveness. For example, the trade balance is a source of competitiveness, while price and cost are a result of competitiveness.

- The heterogeneity of variables and measures for competitiveness in empirical research prevents comparisons of their results. Given the abundance of available measures used to assess competitiveness, special care is needed in choosing the right measures.

⁹ Rayna Dimitrova, *Competitiveness of the Enterprise – Development Techniques* (Blagoevgrad: Langov, 2012), 21.

¹⁰ Rayna Dimitrova, *Competitive Analysis of the Tourist Market* (Blagoevgrad: University Publishing House Neofit Rilski, 2017), 8.

¹¹ Rayna Dimitrova, *Monitoring the Competitiveness of the Enterprise* (Blagoevgrad: University Publishing House Neofit Rilski, 2014), 20.

¹² Radostina Yuleva, “Basic Theoretical Statements for the Competitiveness of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises”, *Entrepreneurship Vol: VII Issue 1* (2019): 25.

¹³ Commission of the European Communities, *The Competitiveness of the Community Industry* (Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1982), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/5542/>

¹⁴ Raya Madgerova and Vyara Kyurova, “Specifics of Entrepreneurship in the Field of Cultural and Creative Industries”, *Entrepreneurship Vol: VII Issue 2* (2019): 103.

¹⁵ Tomasz Siudek and Aldona Zawojka, “Competitiveness in the Economic Concepts, Theories and Empirical Research”, *Oeconomia Vol: 13 Issue 1* (2014): 93.

Concepts for competitiveness assessment at micro level

The micro level is at the heart of the concepts of competitiveness – the first response to any challenge to European competitiveness should come from individual firms in different sectors¹⁶. In the 1980s, is established the first expert group to consider the decisions to be taken "on new Community actions making use of the Community dimension to improve the international competitiveness of enterprises"¹⁷. The competitiveness assessment at firm level has the same specifics as in other micro-level analyzes – lack of sufficient data from reliable sources. For example, in 1973 in the study of chemical industry in Germany, incl. for pharmaceutical sector, the lack of information on 11 of 50 largest firms requires the authors to calculate the sales themselves¹⁸. In the same year in the Netherlands, the lack of information at the micro level was overcome by a specific method – based on bank statements and corporate reports¹⁹. Last but not least, only firms with more than five employees are involved in competitiveness assessment²⁰. In parallel with the economic development, dynamics in competitiveness assessment is reported. In most cases, the assessments of competitiveness are the resources of a firm and their use, and in rare cases, the assessments are aggregated data for an industry²¹. The authors' arguments are for a complex examination of competitiveness assessments: competitive potential refers to the resources used to generate better productivity; competitive performance is a result of compared to that of competitors; the competitive process is related to the management of the firm.

There are two groups of authors:

– Michael Porter uses the firm's productivity as the equivalent of its competitiveness²². According to this concept, productivity gains are achieved through lower costs or differentiated products that set competitive prices.

– Unlike M. Porter, a group of authors shares a resource concept to assessing competitiveness. For example, internal factors such as strategy, structures, innovation capacity and other intangible resources.

The uniqueness of competition among pharmaceutical firms is focus on new products through research and promotions through sales by an expert (a pharmacist). Less attention is paid to price competition and focusing on costs and sales volume²³.

¹⁶ Commission of the European Communities, The Competitiveness of...

¹⁷ European Commission, Discussion paper for the special Council meeting of 20–21 September on the question of improving the international competitive position of European firms (Brussels, 1983), (11.11.2020). http://aei.pitt.edu/1381/1/competitiveness_firms_COM_83_547.pdf

¹⁸ Kommission der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, Untersuchung der Konzentrationsentwicklung in Verschiedenen Untersektoren der Chemischen Industrie in Deutschland (Gummersbach: Klenbaum Unternehmensberatung, 1973), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40994/1/A5080.pdf>

¹⁹ Commissie van de Europese Gemeenschappen, Studie Betreffende de Ontwikkeling van de Concentratie in Enkele Bedrijfstakken in de Chemische Industrie in Nederland (Amsterdam: Der Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1973), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40407/>

²⁰ Commission des Communautés Europeennes, Etude sur l'évolution de la Concentration dans l'industrie Pharmaceutique en Belgique (Bruxelles: STUDIA, 1975), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41526/1/A5632.pdf>

²¹ Spartak Keremidchiev, "Mestna proizvodstvena mreža i upravljenje: Sravnitelno prouchvane na obuvnata promishlenost v Bulgariya i Polsha", Problemi na geografijata Issue 1 (2009): 72.

²² Michael Porter, The Competitive Advantage of Nations (New York: Free Press, 1990), 35.

²³ European Commission, Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on the Outlines of an Industrial Policy for the Pharmaceutical Sector in the European

When assessing the competitiveness at the micro level, account should be taken of the fact that there is more than one person involved in the choice of pharmaceutical product. The influence of treating physician, pharmacist, government authorities and NGOs defines the pharmaceutical market as an atypical market²⁴. I.e. due to the abnormal functioning of the market, the usefulness for the patient as a consumer cannot be assessed with standard concepts. In the pharmaceutical market, the physician's logic of utility should be applied, as the market has nothing to do with economic principles. Close to the classical economy are the principles of inelastic market demand in the pharmaceutical market, i.e. demand is constant and does not depend on price and other market mechanisms. The competitive firm is a patent holder and nominated for good manufacturing practice²⁵. Consumption of pharmaceutical products is not affected by economic development, but mainly by the health situation²⁶.

Suitable tools for micro-level assessment are quantitative sources such as annual reports certified by an auditor, as well as qualitative data such as interviews and surveys²⁷. A good example of the use of quality tools is the practice in the United Kingdom, where competitiveness factors were identified through interviews with leaders in the pharmaceutical industry: the level of research, the availability of the workforce and access to pharmaceutical products²⁸.

Concepts for competitiveness assessment at meso level

Until the 1970s, the statistics in most countries reported data on pharmaceuticals, pesticides and insecticides as part of the chemical industry²⁹. From the same period are the first sectoral studies on the development of firms' concentration for pharmaceutical products, radio and television, and household electrical appliances due to a considerable size, are not monopolized and do not have an atomistic structure³⁰. A crucial problem for researchers has been determining the number of firms, as statistics considered the pharmaceutical sector to be integrated into the chemical industry³¹.

Community (Brussels, 1994), (11.11.2020). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:51993DC0718>

²⁴ Antonio Amaduzzi, Roberto Camagni and Giancarlo Martelli, *La Concentrazione Industriale in Italia: Settore Farmaceutico (1969-1973)* (Milano: Gennaio, 1975), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41732/1/A5915.pdf>

²⁵ Assena Stoimenova, Bogdan Kirilov and Krassimira Zaykova, "Analysis of Good Distribution Practice Inspection Deficiency Data of Pharmaceutical Wholesalers in Bulgaria", *Pharmacia* Vol: 66 Issue 3 (2019): 85.

²⁶ Commission des Communautés Europeennes, *Etude sur l'evolution...* (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41526/1/A5632.pdf>

²⁷ Miroslav Nedelchev, "Theories of Executive Remuneration", *Economics and Management* Vol: XVI Issue 1 (2019): 10.

²⁸ Erik Nordkamp and Jo Pisani, *Driving Global Competitiveness of the UK's Life Sciences Ecosystem* (Pfizer: London, 2017), (11.11.2020). <https://www.pfizer.co.uk/sites/g/files/g10052056/f/201906/Driving-Global-Competitiveness-of-the-UKs-Life-Sciences-Ecosystem-250619.pdf>

²⁹ Commissie van de Europese Gemeenschappen, *Studie Betreffende de...* (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40407/>

³⁰ Niels Jorgensen, *A Study of the Evolution of Concentration in the Danish Pharmaceutical Industry* (Commission of the European Communities, 1974), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41057/1/A5150.pdf>

³¹ Kommission der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, *Untersuchung der...* (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40994/1/A5080.pdf>

There is no consensus on the number of factors that determine the competitiveness of the pharmaceutical industry, and the only common view among authors is their large number: from 46 indicators³² to more than 150 factors³³. A feature in assessing competitiveness is the integration of pharmaceutical industry in other industries (R&D, production and health care)³⁴, as well as its fragmentation into several independent sub-markets³⁵. For science based industries, for example pharmaceutical and energy, innovation and investment are important aspects of competition³⁶.

The rich palette of literature for assessing competitiveness at meso level can be limited to resources and results for a specific sector of the economy. In rare cases, the concept of assessing competitiveness depends on the type of data available, as well as by using different sources of statistics³⁷. An appropriate tool for assessing the meso level is the case study approach, which correctly reflects the situation for a given industry as well as for a given country³⁸.

Concepts for competitiveness assessment at macro level

In the mid-1970s, the process of collecting data and assessing the external environment began. The first data are at macroeconomic level (number of employees, monthly expenses) for retail sales (pharmacies)³⁹. The reasons for the changes in the prices of pharmaceutical products have been identified⁴⁰. Sales to hospitals were reported separately from gross sales⁴¹. Issues related to the protection of consumers from the entry of new products on the market as result of mass production are on the agenda⁴².

³² The Pharmaceutical Industry Competitiveness Task Force, Pharmaceutical Industry Competitiveness Task Force. Competitiveness and Performance Indicators 2005 (London: Crown, 2006), (11.11.2020). <https://www.abpi.org.uk/media/1220/competitiveness-task-force.pdf>

³³ Hosein Shabaninejad, Gholamhossein Mehralian, Arash Rashidian, Ahmad Baratimarnani and Hamid Reza Rasekh, "Identifying and prioritizing industry-level competitiveness factors: evidence from pharmaceutical market", DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Vol: 22 Issue 1 (2014): 35.

³⁴ Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee on the 'Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - A Stronger European-based Pharmaceutical Industry for the Benefit of the Patient - A Call for Action (11.11.2020). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52004AE0842>

³⁵ John Sutton, Technology and Market Structure (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1998), 32.

³⁶ European Commission, Report on Competition Policy 2016 (Brussels, 2017), (11.11.2020). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52017DC0285&from=en>

³⁷ European Commission, Competitiveness of the European biotechnology industry (Brussels: European Commission, 2007), (11.11.2020). https://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/analysis-competitiveness-european-biotechnology-industry-0_is

³⁸ Veska Gergova, Assena Stoimenova and Dobriana Sidjimova, "Reporting of Clinical Trials on Medicinal Products – Regulations and Practices in EU", Health Policy and Management Vol: 19 Issue 4 (2019): 57.

³⁹ Statistical Office of the European Communities, Arbeitskosten. Banken, Versicherungen, Einzelhandel (Luxemburg, 1972), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/84008/1/1974.pdf>

⁴⁰ Brian Abel-Smith and Pierre Grandjeat, Pharmaceutical Consumption. Trends in Expenditure. Main Measures Taken and Underlying Objectives of Public Intervention in the Field (Brussels: Commission of the European Communities, 1978), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/37382/1/A3321.pdf>

⁴¹ Kommission der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, Untersuchung der Konzentrationsentwicklung in Verschiedenen Untersektoren der Chemischen Industrie in Deutschland (Gummersbach: Klenbaum Unternehmensberatung, 1973), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40994/1/A5080.pdf>

⁴² EU Commission, More protection for consumers... (Brussels, 1976), (11.11.2020). http://aei.pitt.edu/30469/1/P_72_76.pdf

In 1980s, the data goes beyond traditional industries (steel, textiles and shipbuilding) to new sectors, which act as growth-generating drivers and job suppliers⁴³. Of particular interest is the process of automation to achieve competitiveness and retain the number of staff while taking into account the reduction of manual labor at the expense of increasing white-collar workers⁴⁴.

The concepts in macro assessment are based on:

– The speed of applicability of research. The period between invention and industrial innovation began to shrink⁴⁵: if in the 19th century it took 100 years from the discovery of the steam engine and 50 years from the discovery of the telephone and photography to their application, then in the 1970s the period was shortened up to five years for atomic decay and up to three years for semiconductors. In this period, the pharmaceutical industry needs an average of 10 years to develop a new pharmaceutical product⁴⁶.

– The structure and composition of competitors. A large number of manufacturers and a large number of products, and a small number of manufacturers characterize the pharmaceutical industry and products control most of the market⁴⁷.

The assessment at the macro level is done through quantitative indicators. A feature of these empirical assessments are the large number of indicators and reputable data sources. For example, one of the successful analyzes has a framework of 120 macro indicators obtained from a combination of public sources such as the World Bank's Doing Business Indicators and the annual survey by World Economic Forum⁴⁸.

There are also concepts for assessing the macro level through a combination of both quantitative and qualitative variables. The Porter's Diamond provides an answer to the differences in the productivity of companies and the competition between countries in a macroeconomic context⁴⁹.

Most often, competitiveness at macro level is measured by productivity and exports. The assessment provides information on the effects of a competitive pharmaceutical industry, such as ensuring the availability of new, effective and safe pharmaceutical products, creating highly skilled employment and influencing the trade balance⁵⁰. In the end,

⁴³ European Commission, Discussion paper for the special Council meeting of 20–21 September on the question of improving the international competitive position of European firms (Brussels, 1983), (11.11.2020). http://aei.pitt.edu/1381/1/competitiveness_firms_COM_83_547.pdf

⁴⁴ Commissione delle Comunità Europee, Studio sull' evoluzione della concentrazione in alcuni settori dell' industria chimica in Italia (Milano, 1972), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/41002/1/A5087.pdf>

⁴⁵ Emmanuel Sassen, The Competition Policy of the Commission of the European Community. An Address at a Luncheon of the Federal Bar Association (Washington, 1969), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/12878/1/12878.pdf>

⁴⁶ Kommission der Europäischen Gemeinschaften, Untersuchung der Konzentrationsentwicklung in Verschiedenen Untersektoren der Chemischen Industrie in Deutschland (Gummersbach: Klenbaum Unternehmensberatung, 1973), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/40994/1/A5080.pdf>

⁴⁷ Paul De Keersmaecker, Report drawn up on behalf of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs on the manufacture, distribution and use of pharmaceutical (European Parliament, 1978), (11.11.2020). <http://aei.pitt.edu/95360/1/1978-79.79.664.pdf>

⁴⁸ Mercedes Delgado, Christian Ketels, Michael Porter and Scott Stern, The Determinants of National Competitiveness (Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2012), 62.

⁴⁹ Michael Porter, The Competitive Advantage of Nations (New York: Free Press, 1990), 17.

⁵⁰ Economic and Social Committee, Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee on 'The role of the European Union in promoting a pharmaceutical policy reflecting citizens' needs: improving care,

the competitive pharmaceutical industry is having an impact on macroeconomic indicators such as reducing healthcare costs and low dependence on imports from other countries. Competitiveness is rarely measured through supervisory practices, licensing regimes and exchange rates⁵¹.

Concepts for competitiveness assessment at mega level

The pharmaceutical market, unlike other parts of healthcare systems, is international in nature⁵². The liberalization of oil market in the 1990s removed control over prices for medicines⁵³. Competitiveness acquires a mega-level dimension as result of globalization⁵⁴. The current wave of globalization is driven by policies that have opened up economies at national and international level as a result of many governments adopting economically free market systems, significantly increasing their own production potential and creating new opportunities for international trade and investment while reducing barriers to international trade and negotiate new international agreements to promote trade, goods and services as well as investment⁵⁵. In theory prevails the opinion that the leading role is for identifying the factors determining competitiveness, instead of their measuring and grouping in indexes⁵⁶. This approach paves the way for carrying out comparative analyses. The competitiveness indexes vary in methods used to aggregate data⁵⁷.

The modern authors use predominantly two concepts for competitiveness assessment⁵⁸:

– The World Economic Forum defines competitiveness as “the ability of countries to ensure high levels of prosperity for their citizens”. I.e. the extent to which each country uses the available resources productively. The Global Competitiveness Index has been constructed in this aspect⁵⁹.

boosting innovative research and controlling health spending trends’ (Brussels, 2000), (11.11.2020). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52000IE1197&from=EN>

⁵¹ Dessislava Ilieva-Tonova, Assena Stoimenova and Ivanka Pencheva, “Market Surveillance and Control of Medicinal Products in Bulgaria 2009 – 2015”, Science & Technologies Vol: VI Number 1 (2016): 368.

⁵² European Communities, European competitiveness report 2004 (Brussels: European Commission, 2005), (11.11.2020). <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/0e1f7d3b-2fe4-43b5-a72d-e5b7b09acb44>

⁵³ Edinburgh Growth Initiative, Promoting Economic Recovery in Europe (Brussels: European Commission, 1993), (11.11.2020). <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/669b541b-d497-446d-9363-5b86623f8a1e>

⁵⁴ Robert Atkinson, Competitiveness, Innovation and Productivity: Clearing up the Confusion (Washington: The Information Technology & Innovation Foundation, 2013), (11.11.2020). <http://www2.itif.org/2013-competitiveness-innovation-productivity-clearing-up-confusion.pdf>

⁵⁵ Elena Stavrova, Dinka Zlateva, Lubomira Pinelova and Radoslav Vladov, “Improving the competitiveness of SMEs by fostering and promotion of non-technological innovations”, Macedonian International Journal of Marketing Vol: 4 Issue 7 (2018): 58.

⁵⁶ Gabriel Olmeda and Juan Varela, “Determinants of International Competitiveness in the Pharmaceutical Industry”, Esic Market Economic and Business Journal Vol: 43 Issue 2 (2012): 371.

⁵⁷ Sigita Balzaravičienė and Vaida Pilinkienė, “Comparison and Review of Competitiveness indexes: Towards the EU Policy”, Economics and Management Vol: 17 Issue 1 (2012): 103.

⁵⁸ Lalka Borissova, “Design thinking in written communication”, Revista Inclusiones Vol: 7 (2020): 106.

⁵⁹ World Economic Forum, Global Competitiveness Report 2019 (Geneva: 2019), (11.11.2020). http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_TheGlobalCompetitivenessReport2019.pdf

The Index presents the factors and attributes that drive productivity, growth and human development in the era of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. In 2019, the Global Competitiveness Index 4.0 index covers the economies of 141 countries, which represent 99% of the world's gross domestic product. The Global Competitiveness Index 4.0 is a set of 103 indicators obtained from data by international organizations and from studies of the World Economic Forum. The indicators are organized in 12 pillars: Institutions; Infrastructure; Adoption of information and communication technologies; Macroeconomic stability; Healthcare; Skills; Product market; Labor market; Financial system; Market size; Business dynamism; Ability to innovate.

– The International Institute for Management Development (IMD) Business School in Lausanne has managed to impose its rating system on international competitiveness over the past 30 years. The ranking covers capacity and readiness of 63 economies to adopt and study digital technologies for economic and social transformation⁶⁰.

The rating is determined based on three factors⁶¹: knowledge (intangible infrastructure needed for the dimensions of training and technology discovery), technology (quantification of the landscape of digital technology development), and future readiness (the level of readiness of an economy to take on its digital transformation). The ranking is determined based on 52 criteria, 20 of which are data from an IMD survey and 32 are the results of 57 partner institutes around the world. The dynamics for the last five years are regularly provided, as well as a comparison of the results by geographical region and the size of the population.

Conclusions

The diversity of definitions of competitiveness leads to a big number of methods for assessing it. The importance of competitiveness of individual levels in the economy determines the existence of attempts to introduce assessment concepts according to the features of individual levels. The large number of concepts for assessing competitiveness determines the difficulties in adopting a unified methodology. For the last 50 years, there has been a high dynamics in the concepts for assessing competitiveness:

- assessment methods go beyond the concepts of definition of competitiveness;
- unlike definitions, assessment concepts are multilevel and multidimensional;
- the aim of the authors in assessing competitiveness is to create a universal concept, unlike other authors who focus on a leading definition of competitiveness.

References

Abel-Smith, Brian and Pierre Grandjeat, *Pharmaceutical Consumption. Trends in Expenditure. Main Measures Taken and Underlying Objectives of Public Intervention in the Field.* Brussels: Commission of the European Communities. 1978. <http://aei.pitt.edu/37382/1/A3321.pdf>

⁶⁰ Dragomir Nedeltchev, *Sotsialen kapital i ikonomichesko razvitie* (Sofia: Akademichno izdatelstvo Marin Drinov, 2005), 9.

⁶¹ IMD World, *Digital Competitiveness Ranking 2020* (Lausanne, 2020), (11.11.2020). <https://www.imd.org/wcc/world-competitiveness-center-rankings/world-digital-competitiveness-rankings-2020/>

Amaduzzi, Antonio; Roberto Camagni and Giancarlo Martelli. *La Concentrazione Industriale in Italia: Settore Farmaceutico (1969-1973)*. Milano: Gennaio. 1975. <http://aei.pitt.edu/41732/1/A5915.pdf>

Atkinson, Robert. *Competitiveness, Innovation and Productivity: Clearing up the Confusion*. Washington: The Information Technology & Innovation Foundation. 2013. <http://www2.itif.org/2013-competitiveness-innovation-productivity-clearing-up-confusion.pdf>

Balzaravičienė, Sigita and Vaida Pilinkienė. "Comparison and Review of Competitiveness indexes: Towards the EU Policy". *Economics and Management* Vol: 17 Issue 1 (2012): 103-109.

Borissova, Lalka. "Design thinking in written communication". *Revista Inclusiones* Vol: 7 (2020): 106-112.

Commissie van de Europese Gemeenschappen. *Studie Betreffende de Ontwikkeling van de Concentratie in Enkele Bedrijfstakken in de Chemische Industrie in Nederland*. Amsterdam: Der Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1973. <http://aei.pitt.edu/40407/>

Commission des Communautés Europeennes. *Etude sur l'evolution de la Concentration dans l'industrie Pharmaceutique en Belgique*. Bruxelles: STUDIA, 1975. <http://aei.pitt.edu/41526/1/A5632.pdf>

Commission des Communautés Europeennes. *Tables of concentration. Pharmaceuticals (N.I.C.E. 313.1)*. Germany. France. The Netherlands. Direction Générale de la Concurrence, 1974. <http://aei.pitt.edu/41011/>

Commission of the European Communities. *The Competitiveness of the Community Industry*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1982. <http://aei.pitt.edu/5542/>

Commissione delle Comunità Europee. *Studio sull' evoluzione della concentrazione in alcuni settori dell' industria chimica in Italia*. Milano, 1972) <http://aei.pitt.edu/41002/1/A5087.pdf>

De Keersmaeker, Paul. *Report drawn up on behalf of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs on the manufacture, distribution and use of pharmaceutical*. European Parliament. 1978. <http://aei.pitt.edu/95360/1/1978-79.79.664.pdf>

Delgado, Mercedes; Christian Ketels, Michael Porter and Scott Stern. *The Determinants of National Competitiveness*. Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research. 2012.

Dimitrova, Rayna. "Assessing Tool for Analysis and Evaluating the Competitive Potential of an Enterprise". *Economics and Management* Vol: VIII Issue 4 (2012): 2-9.

Dimitrova, Rayna. "Possibilities for the Increase of Competitiveness of a Product through the Implementation of Active Methods for Direct Connection with the Consumers". *Entrepreneurship* Issue 1 (2013): 77-84.

Dimitrova, Rayna. *Competitive Analysis of the Tourist Market*. Blagoevgrad: University Publishing House Neofit Rilski. 2017.

Dimitrova, Rayna. *Competitiveness of the Enterprise – Development Techniques*. Blagoevgrad: Langov. 2012.

Dimitrova, Rayna. *Monitoring the Competitiveness of the Enterprise*. Blagoevgrad: University Publishing House Neofit Rilski. 2014.

Economic and Social Committee. *Opinion of the Economic and Social Committee on 'The role of the European Union in promoting a pharmaceutical policy reflecting citizens' needs: improving care, boosting innovative research and controlling health spending trends*. Brussels: 2000. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52000IE1197&from=EN>

Edinburgh Growth Initiative. *Promoting Economic Recovery in Europe*. Brussels: European Commission. 1993. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/669b541b-d497-446d-9363-5b86623f8a1e>

EU Commission. *More protection for consumers against defective products proposed*. Brussels, 1976. http://aei.pitt.edu/30469/1/P_72_76.pdf

European Commission. *Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on the Outlines of an Industrial Policy for the Pharmaceutical Sector in the European Community*. Brussels. 1994. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:51993DC0718>

European Commission. *Competitiveness of the European biotechnology industry*. Brussels: European Commission, 2007. https://ec.europa.eu/growth/content/analysis-competitiveness-european-biotechnology-industry-0_is

European Commission. *Discussion paper for the special Council meeting of 20-21 September on the question of improving the international competitive position of European firms*. Brussels, 1983. <http://aei.pitt.edu/1381/>

European Commission. *Report on Competition Policy 2016*. Brussels, 2017. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52017DC0285&from=en>

European Communities. *European competitiveness report 2004*. Brussels: European Commission, 2005. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/0e1f7d3b-2fe4-43b5-a72d-e5b7b09acb44>

Filipova, Milena and Radostina Yuleva. "Innovative management as competitiveness factor". *Entrepreneurship Issue 2* (2018): 215-228.

Filipova, Milena. "Assessment of the brewery company's competitive advantage". *Economics and Management Vol: I Issue 2* (2005): 52-67.

Filipova, Milena. *Increase the competitiveness of the breweries companies*. Sofia: Korect, 2004.

Gergova, Veska; Assena Stoimenova and Dobriana Sidjimova. "Reporting of Clinical Trials on Medicinal Products – Regulations and Practices in EU". *Health Policy and Management Vol: 19 Issue 4* (2019): 53-57.

Ilieva-Tonova, Dessislava; Assena Stoimenova and Ivanka Pencheva. "Market Surveillance and Control of Medicinal Products in Bulgaria 2009 – 2015". Science & Technologies Vol: VI Number 1 (2016): 366-373.

IMD World. Digital Competitiveness Ranking 2020. Lausanne. 2020. <https://www.imd.org/wcc/world-competitiveness-center-rankings/world-digital-competitiveness-rankings-2020/>

Jorgensen, Niels. A Study of the Evolution of Concentration in the Danish Pharmaceutical Industry. Commission of the European Communities. 1974. <http://aei.pitt.edu/41057/1/A5150.pdf>

Kalaydzhieva, Viktoriya. "The Influence of Innovation on Increasing the Competitiveness of Industrial Enterprises". Izvestiya Issue 3 (2016): 336-349.

Keremidchiev, Spartak. "Mestna proizvodstvena mreza i upravljenje: Srovnitelno prouchvane na obuvnata promishlenost v Bulgariya i Polsha". Problemi na geografijata Issue 1 (2009): 72-88.

Kommission der Europäischen Gemeinschaften. Untersuchung der Konzentrationsentwicklung in Verschiedenen Untersektoren der Chemischen Industrie in Deutschland. Gummersbach: Klenbaum Unternehmensberatung. 1973. <http://aei.pitt.edu/40994/1/A5080.pdf>

Madgerova, Raya and Vyara Kyurova. "Specifics of Entrepreneurship in the Field of Cultural and Creative Industries". Entrepreneurship Vol: VII Issue 2 (2019): 103-123.

Nedelchev, Miroslav. "Theories of Executive Remuneration". Economics and Management Vol: XVI Issue 1 (2019): 10-18.

Nedeltchev, Dragomir. Sotsialen kapital i ikonomichesko razvitie. Sofia: Akademichno izdatelstvo Marin Drinov. 2005.

Nordkamp, Erik and Jo Pisani. Driving Global Competitiveness of the UK's Life Sciences Ecosystem. Pfizer: London. 2017. <https://www.pfizer.co.uk/sites/g/files/g10052056/f/201906/Driving-Global-Competitiveness-of-the-UKs-Life-Sciences-Ecosystem-250619.pdf>

Olmeda, Gabriel and Juan Varela. "Determinants of International Competitiveness in the Pharmaceutical Industry". Esic Market Economic and Business Journal Vol: 43 Issue 2 (2012): 371-385.

Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee on the 'Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - A Stronger European-based Pharmaceutical Industry for the Benefit of the Patient - A Call for Action. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52004AE0842>

Porter, Michael. The Competitive Advantage of Nations. New York: Free Press. 1990.

Sassen, Emmanuel. The Competition Policy of the Commission of the European Community. An Address at a Luncheon of the Federal Bar Association. Washington. 1969. <http://aei.pitt.edu/12878/1/12878.pdf>

Shabaninejad, Hosein; Gholamhossein Mehralian, Arash Rashidian, Ahmad Baratimarnani and Hamid Reza Rasekh. "Identifying and prioritizing industry-level competitiveness factors: evidence from pharmaceutical market". DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Vol: 22 Issue 1 (2014): 22-35.

Siudek, Tomasz and Aldona Zawajska. "Competitiveness in the Economic Concepts, Theories and Empirical Research". Oeconomia Vol: 13 Issue 1 (2014): 91-108.

Statistical Office of the European Communities. Arbeitskosten. Banken, Versicherungen. Einzelhandel. Luxemburg, 1972. <http://aei.pitt.edu/84008/1/1974.pdf>

Stavrova, Elena, Dinka Zlateva, Lubomira Pinelova and Radoslav Vladov, "Improving the competitiveness of SMEs by fostering and promotion of non-technological innovations". Macedonian International Journal of Marketing Vol: 4 Issue 7 (2018): 58-67.

Stoimenova, Assena; Bogdan Kirilov and Krassimira Zaykova. "Analysis of Good Distribution Practice Inspection Deficiency Data of Pharmaceutical Wholesalers in Bulgaria". Pharmacia Vol: 66 Issue 3 (2019): 85-89.

Sutton, John. Technology and Market Structure. Cambridge: MIT Press. 1998.

The Pharmaceutical Industry Competitiveness Task Force. Pharmaceutical Industry Competitiveness Task Force. Competitiveness and Performance Indicators 2005. London: Crown. 2006. <https://www.abpi.org.uk/media/1220/competitiveness-task-force.pdf>

World Economic Forum. Global Competitiveness Report 2019. Geneva: 2019. http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_TheGlobalCompetitivenessReport2019.pdf

Yuleva, Radostina. "Basic Theoretical Statements for the Competitiveness of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises". Entrepreneurship Vol: VII Issue 1 (2019): 25-35.

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES** M.R.
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de la **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.