



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

HOMENAJE A FRANCISCO JOSÉ
FRANCISCO CARRERA

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 7 . Número 3

Julio / Septiembre

2020

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras

Universidad de Tarapacá, Chile

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Aleksandar Ivanov Katrandzhiev

Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Traductora: Portugués

Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz

Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya

Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania

Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga

Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía
Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu
Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie
Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar
Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo
Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto
Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar
Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau
Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg
Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez
Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire
Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera
Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre
Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura
Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros
Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández
Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango
Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut
Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa
Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rумыana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal

Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el
Deporte, Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
Representante Legal
Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad de Concepción



BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN

A KINESIOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL STUDY OF THE RATIONAL MOVEMENTS OF ATHLETES IN TRAP SHOOTING DURING THE PREPARATION FOR COMPETITION ROUTINE

Dr. (C) Svetlana Sergeevna Stashkevich

Federal Science Center for Physical Culture and Sport, Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3722-8557
seta_89@mail.ru

Ph. D. Tamara Fedorovna Abramova

Federal Science Center for Physical Culture and Sport, Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-5671-3806
atf52@bk.ru

Fecha de Recepción: 13 de enero de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 12 de febrero de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 23 de mayo de 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de julio de 2020

Abstract

The paper describes and substantiates the main approaches to studying the dynamics of the functional and emotional state of competitive shooters. In the generally accepted practice of sports physiology and kinesiology, the definition of an athlete's functional state refers to the state of individual body systems (respiratory, cardiovascular, nervous, musculoskeletal systems, psychophysiological indicators, etc.), through which one judges the functional capabilities of the body. The purpose of this paper is to study the influence of objective and subjective factors on the formation of the functional characteristics of the musculoskeletal system and the quality of the exercises in trap shooting. This allows the authors to identify the weak spots in the condition of individual parts of the musculoskeletal system (namely, the upper limbs) and introduce additional exercises/adjustments to the training process that eliminate these imbalances. The use of the kinesiological method makes it possible to objectively assess the functional state of individual parts of the musculoskeletal system (the upper limbs) of athletes and correct it. The study of the emotional state of athletes is expressed in a change in indicators of reactive anxiety, mood, and well-being, indicating the optimization of the functional state of athletes. The results support the continued use of kinesiological and psychological techniques and the continuation of further research.

Keywords

Trap – Kinesiology research – Psychological diagnostics – Situational anxiety – Personal anxiety

Para Citar este Artículo:

Stachkevich, Svetlana Sergeevna y Abramova, Tamara Fedorovna. A kinesiological and psychological study of the rational movements of athletes in trap shooting during the preparation for competition routine. Revista Inclusiones num 3 (2020): 298-305.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)

Licencia Internacional



Introduction

A dynamically developing discipline, such as trapshooting, is accompanied by a complex change in the structure of training competitive shooters in the preparatory and competitive periods.

The lack of a single algorithm for a comprehensive examination of the physiological and psychological foundations of the rational movements of competitive shooters is emphasized by Russian and foreign authors¹.

As a result of this, the reasons why competitive shooters often miss their target or cannot fire their shot have not yet been established. They could include failure to hit the target, the physical factor (such as dust impeding the flight of the bullet), weapon failure due to the athlete's fault (forgot to load, charge or remove the fuse, insert the second cartridge after a miss), as well as subjective reasons. Trapshooting exercises are conducted from five numbered spots, where shooting places are located in a straight line on a rectangular platform. The targets flying out of the hidden trench move along several trajectories, with a tolerance of deviation of up to 45°. The flight altitude can vary too, which requires the shooter to respond as quickly as possible.

Of the existing methods for studying the musculoskeletal system, such a method as kinesiology provides an integral picture of muscle movement in all its manifestations since this method considers the mechanical, physiological, and psychological aspects of movement: quality, correctness, sequence of movements, smoothness and accuracy of movements, degree of freedom and stability in holding a pose, performing movements at the first try or the second or third try; confidently or with tension, swaying, the simultaneity of the execution of specified movements, accuracy, smoothness of execution, accuracy, and speed of transition from one movement to another. This ultimately allows one to find the most rational movements for competitive shooters.

Methods

General scheme

The analysis of scientific, methodological, and specialized literature, observation, kinesiology studies, psychological diagnostics, and methods of mathematical statistics allowed us to us generalize and systematize the research results to increase the efficiency of training athletes, as well as for forecasting and professional selection of trapshooters for a team.

Algorithm

The study was conducted at the All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Physical Culture and Sports (FSBI FNT VNIIFK). The study involved competitive trap shooters during their preparation for competitions.

¹ K. C. Atwood, "Naturopathy, pseudoscience, and medicine: myths and fallacies vs truth", *Medscape General Medicine* Vol: 6 Issue 1 (2004) y M. Haas; R. Cooperstein y D. Peterso, "Disentangling manual muscle testing and Applied Kinesiology: critique and reinterpretation of a literature review", *Chiropractic & Osteopathy* Vol: 15 (2007).

All athletes signed informed consents to the publication of data obtained as a result of research without identification.

The following parameters were determined for all shooters during epy kinesiology study: switchability of movements, spatial organization, volume and quality of movements, self-control when performing tests, feelings of pace and rhythm.

A kinesigraphic study of the upper limbs (right and left arms) was carried out as follows: the arm of the subject was fixed on a special platform with surface electric sensors (the passive sensor was the magnetic sensor), which recorded the movement of the magnet (the active sensor). It was attached to the bottom of the platform. During the movement of the upper limb, signals from the magnet were captured by the magnetic sensors of the platform frame and transmitted to a personal computer.

As a result of kinesigraphic research on a computer screen, a three-dimensional graphic image of the usual path of movement of the upper limb was obtained. The subject with open eyes moved alternately the right and left arm at an angle of 50 degrees to the right and left side. The arm, which was actively involved in the movement, was bent at a right angle in the elbow joint. At a signal, the subject began to move their arm to a place (angle) of 50 degrees indicated in advance. Speed was not taken into account. During the task, the subject could deviate from the specified angle of 50 degrees (make a smaller or larger swing with their arm). Assignments were repeated 2 times for each arm (5 times to each side).

As part of a psychological study, we determined the situational and personal anxiety for all athletes and performed a subjective assessment of the athletes' emotional state before the competition.

The psychological methodology called "Integrative Anxiety Test" includes two main scales, which allow one to identify the level of personal and situational (reactive) anxiety. The results of psychological diagnostics allowed us to assess the impact of anxiety on the achievement of successful and productive activities in sports. The maximum severity of anxiety is 10 points, the minimum is 10 points, the average level of anxiety (norm) is 0-3 points, 4-6 points are a moderate level of anxiety, and 7-10 points means a high level of anxiety, indicating a steady tendency to perceive a wide range of situations as threatening².

An express assessment of the emotional state of athletes before the competition included a personal assessment of the athlete's well-being, mood, desire to train, satisfaction with the training process, relationships with their teammates and the coach, sports prospects, and preparedness for the competition. The emotional state indicator scales were interpreted as follows: 1-3 — low, 4-7 — average, 8-10 — high. Interpretation of the emotional state: <20 — low, 21-40 — below average; 41-60 — average; 61-69 — above average; > 70 — high.

² A. P. Bizyuk; L. I. Wasserman y B. V. Iovlev, The use of integrative anxiety test: Methodological recommendations (St. Petersburg: SPbNIPi them. V.M. Bechterew, 2005).

Block diagram

We carried out the statistical processing of the experimental material using SPSS 22 software, using correlation analysis and Student's t-test.

| One-Sample Statistics | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| Right arm | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | 10 | 53.6900 | 5.19903 | 1.64408 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 10 | 4.1960 | 3.52349 | 1.11423 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 10 | 7.7300 | 6.47028 | 2.04608 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | 10 | 52.8800 | 10.14690 | 3.20873 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 10 | 7.6660 | 16.60088 | 5.24966 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 10 | 10.8000 | 20.75155 | 6.56222 |
| Left arm | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | 10 | 55.5500 | 10.78355 | 3.41006 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 10 | 8.2010 | 14.33318 | 4.53255 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 10 | 11.8100 | 17.02230 | 5.38292 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | 10 | 52.0880 | 4.92437 | 1.55722 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 10 | 10.0580 | 22.32128 | 7.05861 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 10 | 16.8700 | 35.62016 | 11.26408 |

Table 1
Statistical data of the main kinesiological characteristics on the trap

| One-Sample Test (Comparison of two dependent samples) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| | Test Value = 0 | | | | | |
| | Student's t-test | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Right arm | | | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | 32.657 | 9 | .000 | 53.69000 | 49.9708 | 57.4092 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 3.766 | 9 | .004 | 4.19600 | 1.6754 | 6.7166 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 3.778 | 9 | .004 | 7.73000 | 3.1014 | 12.3586 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | 16.480 | 9 | .000 | 52.88000 | 45.6213 | 60.1387 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 1.460 | 9 | .178 | 7.66600 | -4.2096 | 19.5416 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 1.646 | 9 | .134 | 10.80000 | -4.0448 | 25.6448 |
| Left arm | | | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | 16.290 | 9 | .000 | 55.55000 | 47.8359 | 63.2641 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 1.460 | 9 | .178 | 7.66600 | -4.2096 | 19.5416 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|
| the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | | | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 1.646 | 9 | .134 | 10.80000 | -4.0448 | 25.6448 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | 33.449 | 9 | .000 | 52.08800 | 48.5653 | 55.6107 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | 1.425 | 9 | .188 | 10.05800 | -5.9097 | 26.0257 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | 1.498 | 9 | .168 | 16.87000 | -8.6111 | 42.3511 |

Table 2
Statistical data of the main psychological characteristics on the trap

| One-Sample Statistics | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| Rapid assessment of the athletes' emotional state before the competition | | | | |
| Well-being | 10 | 8.3000 | .94868 | .30000 |
| Mood | 10 | 8.2000 | 1.03280 | .32660 |
| Desire to train | 10 | 8.4000 | 1.34990 | .42687 |
| Satisfaction with the training process | 10 | 8.3000 | 1.25167 | .39581 |
| Relationship with teammates | 10 | 8.0000 | 1.33333 | .42164 |
| Relationship with the coach | 10 | 8.4000 | 1.17379 | .37118 |
| Sports prospects | 10 | 9.2000 | .78881 | .24944 |
| Readiness for the competition | 10 | 8.6000 | 1.07497 | .33993 |
| Emotional state | 10 | 67.4000 | 7.05849 | 2.23209 |
| Integrative anxiety test | | | | |
| Situational anxiety (SA) | 10 | 1.3000 | .67495 | .21344 |
| Emotional discomfort (SA) | 10 | 1.8000 | 1.75119 | .55377 |
| Asthenic component of anxiety (SA) | 10 | 2.3000 | 1.88856 | .59722 |
| Phobic component (SA) | 10 | 2.6000 | 2.22111 | .70238 |
| Negative anticipatory thinking (SA) | 10 | 1.9000 | .99443 | .31447 |
| Social protection (SA) | 10 | 3.6000 | 2.50333 | .79162 |
| Personal anxiety (PA) | 10 | 4.2000 | 1.93218 | .61101 |
| Emotional discomfort (PA) | 10 | 5.1000 | 1.96921 | .62272 |
| Asthenic component of anxiety (PA) | 10 | 3.1000 | 2.33095 | .73711 |
| Phobic component (PA) | 10 | 4.1000 | 2.23358 | .70632 |
| Negative anticipatory thinking (PA) | 10 | 4.1000 | 1.96921 | .62272 |
| Social protection (PA) | 10 | 4.2000 | 2.34758 | .74237 |

Table 3
Statistical data of the main psychological characteristics on the trap

| One-Sample Test (Comparison of two dependent samples) | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|---|--------|
| | Test Value = 0 | | | | | |
| | Student's t-test | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | |
| | | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Rapid assessment of the athletes' emotional state before the competition | | | | | | |
| Well-being | 27.667 | 9 | .000 | 8.30000 | 7.6214 | 8.9786 |
| Mood | 25.107 | 9 | .000 | 8.20000 | 7.4612 | 8.9388 |
| Desire to train | 19.678 | 9 | .000 | 8.40000 | 7.4343 | 9.3657 |
| Satisfaction with the training process | 20.970 | 9 | .000 | 8.30000 | 7.4046 | 9.1954 |
| Relationship with teammates | 18.974 | 9 | .000 | 8.00000 | 7.0462 | 8.9538 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|
| Relationship with the coach | 22.630 | 9 | .000 | 8.40000 | 7.5603 | 9.2397 |
| Sports prospects | 36.882 | 9 | .000 | 9.20000 | 8.6357 | 9.7643 |
| Readiness for the competition | 25.299 | 9 | .000 | 8.60000 | 7.8310 | 9.3690 |
| Emotional state | 30.196 | 9 | .000 | 67.40000 | 62.3507 | 72.4493 |
| Integrative anxiety test | | | | | | |
| Situational anxiety (SA) | 5.657 | 9 | .000 | 1.33333 | .7898 | 1.8769 |
| Emotional discomfort (SA) | 3.250 | 9 | .010 | 1.80000 | .5473 | 3.0527 |
| Asthenic component of anxiety (SA) | 3.851 | 9 | .004 | 2.30000 | .9490 | 3.6510 |
| Phobic component (SA) | 3.702 | 9 | .005 | 2.60000 | 1.0111 | 4.1889 |
| Negative anticipatory thinking (SA) | 6.042 | 9 | .000 | 1.90000 | 1.1886 | 2.6114 |
| Social protection (SA) | 4.719 | 8 | .002 | 3.88889 | 1.9887 | 5.7891 |
| Personal anxiety (PA) | 6.874 | 9 | .000 | 4.20000 | 2.8178 | 5.5822 |
| Emotional discomfort (PA) | 8.190 | 9 | .000 | 5.10000 | 3.6913 | 6.5087 |
| Asthenic component of anxiety (PA) | 4.206 | 9 | .002 | 3.10000 | 1.4325 | 4.7675 |
| Phobic component (PA) | 5.805 | 9 | .000 | 4.10000 | 2.5022 | 5.6978 |
| Negative anticipatory thinking (PA) | 6.584 | 9 | .000 | 4.10000 | 2.6913 | 5.5087 |
| Social protection (PA) | 5.658 | 9 | .000 | 4.20000 | 2.5206 | 5.8794 |

Table 4

Statistical data of the main psychological characteristics on the trap

Results

According to the results of correlation analysis, in the process of preparing the shooter for targets that will fly out strongly to the left, strongly to the right, to the center, or at any other angle, the use of the technique of "quick eyeliner" is effective. In the process of lifting a gun before giving a command to release the target, the starting aiming point is very important. For right-handed shooters, the turn to the left will have a larger radius than to the right, for left-handed people this will be the other way around. Therefore, in the case of a miss to the left, the shooter experiences emotional discomfort ($r = 0.632^*$) against which the feeling of an incomprehensible threat, self-doubt, and their futility ($r = 0.680^*$) begins to grow. Their well-being worsens ($r = -0.657^*$), as well as their mood ($r = -0.683^*$), while their anxiety for their career in sports arises ($r = -0.860^{**}$), but the shooter receives support from the team ($r = -0.606^*$). In the case of a miss to the right, the shooter experiences emotional discomfort ($r = 0.693^*$), their well-being worsens ($r = -0.670^*$), they become anxious for their sports career ($r = -0.860^{**}$), but they receive support from the team ($r = -0.606^*$) (Tables 3-5).

| | Well-being | Mood | Relationship with teammates | Sports prospects | Emotional discomfort (SA) | Phobic component (SA) |
|---|------------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Right arm | | | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | -.461 | -.378 | .014 | -.390 | .108 | -.062 |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | -.560 | -.464 | -.676* | -.559 | .855** | .730* |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | -.525 | -.435 | -.683* | -.526 | .854** | .749* |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | -.357 | -.336 | -.749* | -.345 | .732* | .680* |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | -.493 | -.435 | -.785** | -.545 | .850** | .685* |
| Kinesiography of the right arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | -.495 | -.412 | -.771** | -.550 | .859** | .689* |
| Left arm | | | | | | |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Average (X) | -.670* | -.584 | -.768** | -.758* | .693* | .505 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | -.599 | -.517 | -.761* | -.674* | .773** | .576 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the right at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | -.608 | -.530 | -.731* | -.689* | .740* | .524 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Average (X) | -.757* | -.683* | -.706* | -.860** | .559 | .383 |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Standard deviation (σ) | -.542 | -.468 | -.802** | -.609 | .825** | .663* |
| Kinesiography of the left arm to the left at 50°. Coefficient of variation (V) | -.540 | -.468 | -.803** | -.609 | .824** | .661* |

Table 5

Statistical data of the main kinesiological and psychological characteristics on the trap
Correlation analysis

Conclusion

Experienced shooters often lift their gun high, about 1.2 meters above the trench and pick up the target at the moment when it appears from under the muzzle. For beginners, it is more convenient to lift their gun lower, at the trench level, because in this way they can see the target faster and its speed makes the shooter turn faster. Based on kinesiographic data, we identified and studied the "weak points" affecting the formation of the functional characteristics of the musculoskeletal system (namely, the upper limbs) in the process of preparing for competitions.

Based on the data of kinesiography and considering that the targets fly out of the trench and move along several trajectories with an allowable deflection angle of 45°, for experienced right-handed shooters, the "drift" of the gun strongly to the left should be at least 45°/60° and the "drift" of the gun strongly to the right no more than 50°/57°. For beginning right-handed shooters, the "drift" of the gun made by the shooter strongly to the left should be at least 52° and the "drift" of the gun strongly to the right no more than 54°. This scheme of rational movements will allow the shooter to react as quickly as possible to different heights of the target's flight (Tables 1, 2). The 1964 Tokyo Olympics confirm our empirical study. The events of the 18th Tokyo Olympics were dramatic for P. Senichev, who showed the same result as the American athlete W. Morris, scoring 194 out of 200 possible targets. During the shootout, Senichev unexpectedly missed the target in the first shot. However, being confident that he had hit it, he lowered his gun and saw that it was still flying. Senichev reacted on time and shot the target with a second shot, hitting it when it had almost fallen, and finished the series without a miss, which allowed him to win a silver medal. Senichev was awarded the title of Honored Master of Sports, Honored Trainer of the USSR for successful performances at international competitions and received the Order of the Badge of Honor. Thus, to fire a shot and not miss the target, the shooter must go beyond the permissible deviation of the target at 45°. To avoid making mistakes for subjective reasons, one needs not to think about the target and its trajectory, but rather to use the gun quickly, skillfully, and freely. The total obtained data on personal and situational anxiety among shooters that affect the choice of behavioral strategies in the competitive and preparatory periods can serve as the basis for the development of psychological and pedagogical programs for optimizing behavioral strategies in both the preparatory and competitive periods.

References

- Atwood, K. C. "Naturopathy, pseudoscience, and medicine: myths and fallacies vs truth". *Medscape General Medicine* Vol: 6 Issue 1 (2004): 33.
- Bizyuk, A. P., Wasserman, L. I., Iovlev, B. V. The use of integrative anxiety test: Methodological recommendations. St. Petersburg: SPbNIPi them. V.M. Bechterev. 2005.
- Bobkov, G. A., Permyakov, I. A., Morozov, O. Yu., Nadinskii, O. Yu., Matov, V. V., Nazarov, S. E. y Bobkov, I. G. "Innovatsionnyi metod kolichestvennogo opredeleniya i korrektsii funktsionalnogo sostoyaniya miofatsialnykh meridianov sportsmenov: Vestnik sportivnoi nauki". *Vestnik sportivnoi nauki* Vol: 4 (2014): 48-53.
- Haas, M., Cooperstein, R. y Peterson, D. "Disentangling manual muscle testing and Applied Kinesiology: critique and reinterpretation of a literature review. *Chiropractic & Osteopathy* Vol: 15 (2007): 11.
- Okhota i rybalka XXI vek: zhurnal. January 2006.

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.