



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

AMISTAD Y COLABORACIÓN INVESTIGATIVA

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 7 . Número Especial

Octubre / Diciembre

2020

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Director

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda
Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Editor

OBU - CHILE

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Aleksandar Ivanov Katrandzhiev
Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero
Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos
Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado
Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto
Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos
Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera
Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González
Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González
Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy
Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz
Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya
Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach
Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania
Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín
Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio
Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero
Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira
Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga
Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona
Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía

Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu

Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie

Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar

Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo

Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto

Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar

Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau

Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg

Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Italia

Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez

Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire

Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera

Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre

Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura

Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros

Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández

Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango

Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut

Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Romyana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

*Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal
Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal*

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el
Deporte, Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu

Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES** M.R.
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
OBU – C HILE

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad de Concepción



BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN

ALGORITHMIZATION OF LAW AND LAW-MAKING: POTENTIAL AND KEY CHALLENGES

Ph. D. (c) Sergey Zenin

Kutafin Moscow State Law University, Russia
South-Ural state University (National Research University), Russia
ORCID: 0000-0002-4520-757X
zenincergei@mail.ru

Ph. D. (c) Osman Izhaev

Moscow City Election Commission, Russia
ORCID: 0000-0003-3777-8927
izhev.osman@gmail.com

Ph. D. (c) Dmitry Kuteynikov

Kutafin Moscow State Law University, Russia
ORCID: 0000-0003-1448-3085
kuteynikov@me.com

Ph. D. (c) Ivan Mikhailovich Yapryntsev

Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, Russia
ORCID: 0000-0003-0621-5507
imypryntsev@gmail.com

Fecha de Recepción: 08 de junio de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 18 de junio de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 19 de septiembre 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de octubre de 2020

Abstract

This article is devoted to the study of law and computer algorithms as means regulating social and technical processes. The objective of the work is to study the possibilities of using computer code to regulate social relations, as well as the impact of this process on traditionally used legislative procedures. This article uses a comparative analysis, which allows the authors to draw an analogy between law and computer algorithm and between social relations and technical operations. The authors propose the thesis that the computer code regulating technical processes can be used to a certain extent in the legal sphere. One such example is smart contracts, which are used in certain areas. The authors have studied different approaches to the definition of the term "smart contract" and formulated their own definition of this concept.

Keywords

Smart contract – Blockchain – Law-making – Computational law – Algorithms – Internet of things

Para Citar este Artículo:

Zenin, Sergey; Izhaev, Osman; Kuteynikov, Dmitry y Yapryntsev, Ivan Mikhailovich. Algorithmization of law and law-making: potential and key challenges. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 224-231.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)

Licencia Internacional



PH. D. (C) SERGEY ZENIN / PH. D. (C) OSMAN IZHAEV / PH. D. (C) DMITRY KUTEYNIKOV
PH. D. (C) IVAN MIKHAILOVICH YAPRYNTSEV

Introduction

In the modern world, there is a steady trend of development and mass introduction of computer algorithms into social life, which to some extent regulate various processes. In this regard, legal science and practice are faced with the tasks related to the understanding of new technological solutions for their subsequent implementation in the legal sphere. One of the ways to apply new technologies in law is to use computer code to regulate social relations. This topic is quite controversial, on the one hand, there is a known experience of successful use of smart contracts in narrow spheres. On the other hand, at this moment, it is difficult to predetermine the prospects of using code on a larger scale. At the same time, the use of code to regulate social relations directly affects the issues of law-making and can make some amendments to traditionally used legislative procedures.

Methods

This article uses a comparative analysis, which has allowed drawing an analogy between law and computer algorithm and between social relations and technical operations. As a thesis, the idea of similarity of law with the algorithm was put forward, which allowed studying, in particular, smart contracts as an example of legal regulation through the algorithm of social relations. With the help of scientific methods of analysis and synthesis, the approaches to the concept of smart contract, available in science, were studied and the author's definition of this term was formulated.

To study algorithms in the context of law-making, an interdisciplinary approach was used, in which the technical features of computer code functioning were studied. This approach allowed developing several legal recommendations (requirements) regarding legislative procedures in cases of translation of certain regulatory provisions into computer code.

Results

1) To a certain extent, the law is an algorithm, which allows one to conclude that the same methods that are used in the technical sphere to fix the sequence of various operations can be used to regulate social relations.

2) There are two main approaches to defining a smart contract in the scientific literature: legal and technical. The first approach uses the term "smart contract" to refer to a legal contract, or elements thereof, presented and executed through software. According to the second (technical) approach, a smart contract is understood as a computer code designed to perform certain operations when predetermined events occur.

3) When a legal smart contract is used in the legal sphere, the term should be understood as a legally binding contract in the form of computer code and supported by appropriate legal remedies.

4) To use computer code in the law-making, it is necessary to define the spheres of legal regulation, develop an appropriate programming language, and take a set of measures for the internal and external audit of the code that will ensure transparency, the legality of the code, and, consequently, public trust in the adopted regulations.

Discussion

Law and algorithms

Law is one of the key regulators of relations in modern society. In its most general form, law is a set of norms that regulates certain processes by prescribing a list of necessary actions. Such understanding closely correlates with the term "computer algorithm", since a system of consecutive operations (according to certain rules) to solve a task can be called an algorithm. Thus, it can be argued that the law functions according to the laws of the algorithm with the only difference that, due to the complexity of social relations, the degree of accuracy and unambiguity of legal requirements is much lower than the technical requirements inherent in the algorithm.

At the same time, both the law and the computer algorithm are systems containing a set of semantic signs regulating public and technical processes respectively. The law has its input data, e.g. information on social relations that need to be regulated, results of the analysis of the application of normative prescriptions, or the will of the people. "Processing" of the given information leads to acceptance of the legislative and judicial decisions aimed at the adjustment of public processes and, as a consequence, satisfaction of interests of both an individual and society as a whole.

The unprecedented development of technology in recent times has opened up several new opportunities for civilization, including the legal sphere. If we accept the thesis that law is an algorithm, then to a certain extent, the same methods used in the technical sphere for fixing the sequence of different operations can be used for regulating social relations.

Approaches to the algorithmization of law have long been developed in the framework of computational law, which is part of legal informatics.

Smart contracts

Today, the algorithmization of law is most evident in the development of smart contracts. To study the possibilities of smart contracts in the field of law-making, it is necessary to form an unambiguous definition of this term. The concept of smart contract was introduced into scientific circulation by lawyer and cryptographer Nick Szabo in 1994. He believed that a distributed registry could be used for contracts, in other words, self-executing, blockchain, or digital contracts. In this format, contracts could be translated into computer code, stored, and reproduced in a system controlled by a network of computers on a blockchain. He defined smart contracts as computer protocols that fulfill the terms of the contract and argued that the main purpose of a smart contract is to develop satisfactory contract terms (payment, deposit, confidentiality, enforcement, etc.), including those aimed at preventing intentional and unintentional violations and allowing the need for trusted intermediaries¹.

¹ N. Szabo, Smart Contracts: Building Blocks for Digital Markets. Retrieved from: http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOTwinterschool2006/szabo.best.vwh.net/smart_contracts_2.html

In modern literature, there are quite a few scientific works devoted to smart contracts, which reveal their concept. Let us consider some of them that demonstrate the breadth of approaches. Smart contracts are defined as:

- "self-executing electronic instructions installed in computer code"²;
- "a digital agreement, which is written in computer code, is executed on a blockchain or similar distributed registry technology and is automatically executed without any human intervention"³;
- "a piece of computer code that is capable of monitoring, executing and enforcing an agreement"⁴;
- "a software, which computer code binds two, or a multitude, of parties in view of the execution of predefined effects and that is stored on a distributed ledger"⁵;
- "contracts that are represented in code and executed by computers"⁶;
- "the new generation of the digital contract"⁷;
- "programs that perform part of the contractual obligations and may contain and execute contractual conditions, as well as invoke physical remedies"⁸;
- "an agreement implemented in software"⁹.

A comparative analysis of the definitions described above leads to the conclusion that there are two main approaches to the definition of a smart contract: legal and technical. The first (legal) approach uses the term "smart contract" to refer to a legal contract, or elements thereof, presented and executed through software. According to the second (technical) approach, a smart contract is understood as a computer code designed to perform certain operations when predetermined events occur. Such operations are most often recorded in a distributed registry.

² R. O'Shields, "Smart Contracts: Legal Agreements for the Blockchain", North Carolina Banking Institute Vol: 21 num 1 (2017): 179

³ R. Caria, Definitions of Smart Contracts: Between Law and Code, in: The Cambridge Handbook of Smart Contracts, Blockchain Technology and Digital Platforms (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2019), 19-36.

⁴ Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer. Blockchain and contracts – a smart new world. Retrieved from: <https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/our-thinking/campaigns/digital/fintech/blockchain-and-smart-contracts/?fbclid=IwAR0yzaQLxgmhZUH1PZHdPZvA-9EM8--TI3o0JZQ2I1w3P9tJ0cyiDxDC1G8>

⁵ G. Jaccard, Smart Contracts and the Role of Law. Jusletter IT 23, 2018. Retrieved from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3099885

⁶ E. Mik, Smart Contracts: Terminology, Technical Limitations and Real World Complexity. Melbourne Law School; Singapore Management University. 2017. Retrieved from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3038406>

⁷ P. De Filippi y A. Wright, Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2018).

⁸ E. Tjong Tjin Tai, Force Majeure and Excuses in Smart Contracts. Tilburg Private Law Working Paper Series No. 10, 2018. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3183637>

⁹ M. Sokolov, Smart Legal Contract as a Future of Contracts Enforcement. 2018. Retrieved from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3208292>

For example, there is a known example of the use of a smart contract that describes a software agent that creates a cryptographic currency, provides an electronic vote, or offers an electronic blind auction.

In our opinion, the difference in these approaches is due to the fact that both lawyers and programmers focus on separate aspects of smart contracts. It is more reasonable to have an integrative understanding of a smart contract that combines both of these approaches. This means that the actual legal content of a smart contract is to some extent reflected in computer code, which contains a programmed algorithm of actions in response to the events specified in the contract. Thus, a smart contract can be understood as a legally binding contract, written in the form of computer code and supported by appropriate legal means of protection. Smart contracts have the potential to increase efficiency in sectors such as financial services, healthcare, and the energy industry, especially in combination with other new technologies such as automated algorithms (artificial intelligence), Internet of things, and robotic process automation.

Algorithmization and law-making

The use of the program code in legal regulations creates the need for additional requirements for the procedure of their adoption. Although legislative procedures in different countries have quite a lot of differences, several general recommendations can be distinguished.

Firstly, it is necessary to define the spheres of legal regulation in which it is reasonable to use program code in legal acts. At present, as it has been already mentioned, the corresponding prerequisites arise in the field of private law and e-commerce.

For example, startup Symbium¹⁰ is engaged in "computational law" through which it translates laws and other regulations into computer code. On this digital platform, the company has created an application that divides a number of cities in the state of California, USA into separate zones so that the user can find out what legal possibilities one has, for example, to build or reconstruct a house on a single plot, including to determine the permissible number of floors of the house and other legal requirements without having to contact the government. This application works because all the legal requirements of a particular area are translated into computer code, which is quickly and unambiguously read by the user's smart device.

The algorithmization of legal rules will become particularly important when it becomes necessary to interpret them by technical means (devices of the "Internet of things", especially with autonomous algorithms).

In this regard, there are several solutions to this problem: the development of technologies for natural language processing to the level of full understanding by such technical means of legal norms; the creation of legal norms that can be easily translated into software code. The most effective integration of autonomous technical means into the public space will require both options.

¹⁰ Symbium Build. Retrieved from: <https://symbium.com/>

Secondly, there is currently no single standardized programming language. For example, regarding smart contracts, there are now a lot of its variants (Solidity, Serpent, Clarity, Viper, Lisk, Chain, etc.). However, it is obvious that the development of digital platforms, even at the first stage, will require intrastate or regional standardization.

For example, the Government of Singapore has allocated \$10.8 million to conduct a legal technology research program¹¹ (Legaltech), which aims to digitize various laws, regulations, and agreements in the country. The grant was awarded to the School of Law of the Singapore Management University (SMU) and will be sent to establish a Centre for Computer Law (CCL). The five-year research program provides for the establishment of smart contracts and smart laws. This initiative will begin with the development of subject-specific high-level computer programming language that will be used to encode laws, regulations, and agreements.

It will also require the introduction of requirements for versions of software products for law-makers and enforcement agents, as well as for society and business entities.

Thirdly, a necessary measure is to audit the code during its development, implementation, and use in the legislative process. Algorithms have such known disadvantages as opacity and closed nature due to their complicated technical content. At the same time, norms adopted in the form of computer code will have legal force and cover a wide range of subjects of social relations. Under such circumstances, the process of such law-making should be sufficiently transparent and open.

These drawbacks of computer algorithms are actively studied in the scientific and applied studies, within the framework of which various measures aimed at ensuring sufficient transparency and openness of the algorithms are proposed¹².

Conclusion

In our opinion, in case of the introduction of separate digital solutions into the legislative process, it will be necessary to develop a system of internal and external code audit. In particular, concerning the reliability and security of the code against unauthorized interference, its compliance with the current legal regulation of the relevant sphere, and the absence of discriminatory bookmarks of other illegal restrictions of rights and freedoms of citizens. Adoption of these measures will allow creating in the society an atmosphere of trust in normative legal acts written in the form of a computer code, which can significantly increase their efficiency and reduce transaction costs.

¹¹ O. Faridi, Legaltech: Singapore Government Pledges \$10.8 Million towards Program Supporting Legal Technology Research, which Will “Digitize” Local Laws. Crowdfund Insider. Retrieved from: <https://www.crowdfundinsider.com/2020/03/158943-legaltech-singapore-government-pledges-10-8-million-towards-program-supporting-legal-technology-research-which-will-digitize-local-laws/>

¹² J. A. Kroll; J. Huey; S. Barocas; E. W. Felten; J. R. Reidenberg; D. G. Robinson y H. Yu, Accountable Algorithms. A governance framework for algorithmic accountability and transparency. 2017. Retrieved from [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/624262/EPRS_STU\(2019\)624262_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/624262/EPRS_STU(2019)624262_EN.pdf)

Acknowledgments

The reported study was funded by RFBR according to the research project № 18-29-16214.

References

Caria, R. Definitions of Smart Contracts: Between Law and Code, in: The Cambridge Handbook of Smart Contracts, Blockchain Technology and Digital Platforms. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2019.

Faridi, O. Legaltech: Singapore Government Pledges \$10.8 Million towards Program Supporting Legal Technology Research, which Will “Digitize” Local Laws. Crowdfund Insider. Retrieved from: <https://www.crowdfundinsider.com/2020/03/158943-legaltech-singapore-government-pledges-10-8-million-towards-program-supporting-legal-technology-research-which-will-digitize-local-laws/>

Filippi, P. De y Wright, A. Blockchain and the Law: The Rule of Code. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 2018.

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer. Blockchain and contracts – a smart new world. Retrieved from: <https://www.freshfields.com/en-gb/our-thinking/campaigns/digital/fintech/blockchain-and-smart-contracts/?fbclid=IwAR0yzaQLxgmhZUH1PZHdPPzVA-9EM8--TI3o0JZQ2I1w3P9tJ0cyiDxDC1G8>

Jaccard, G. Smart Contracts and the Role of Law. Jusletter IT 23, 2018. Retrieved from: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3099885

Kroll, J. A.; Huey, J.; Barocas, S.; Felten, E. W.; Reidenberg, J. R.; Robinson, D. G. y Yu, H. Accountable Algorithms. A governance framework for algorithmic accountability and transparency. 2017. Retrieved from [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/624262/EPRS_STU\(2019\)624262_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2019/624262/EPRS_STU(2019)624262_EN.pdf)

Mik, E. Smart Contracts: Terminology, Technical Limitations and Real World Complexity. Melbourne Law School; Singapore Management University. 2017. Retrieved from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3038406>

O'Shields, R. Smart Contracts: Legal Agreements for the Blockchain. North Carolina Banking Institute Vol: 21 num 1 (2017): 179.

Sokolov, M. Smart Legal Contract as a Future of Contracts Enforcement. 2018. Retrieved from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3208292>

Symbium Build. Retrieved from: <https://symbium.com/>

Szabo, N. Smart Contracts: Building Blocks for Digital Markets. Retrieved from: http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOTwinterschool2006/szabo.best.vwh.net/smart_contracts_2.html

Tjong Tjin Tai, E. Force Majeure and Excuses in Smart Contracts. Tilburg Private Law Working Paper Series No. 10, 2018. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3183637>

REVISTA
INCLUSIONES M.R.
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.

PH. D. (C) SERGEY ZENIN / PH. D. (C) OSMAN IZHAEV / PH. D. (C) DMITRY KUTEYNIKOV
PH. D. (C) IVAN MIKHAILOVICH YAPRYNTSEV